

# 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Quarterly Progress Report For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

November 10, 2005

#### John Bush, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc. 1676 International Drive McLean, VA 22102 T: 703.747.8793 M: 703.628.2874 John.Bush@BearingPoint.com

#### **Brett Haan, Managing Director**

BearingPoint, Inc. 1676 International Drive McLean, VA 22102 T: 703.747.4968 M: 202.360.9616

Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com

#### Robert B. Kelly, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20044 T: 202.626.6216 F: 202.626.6780 rkelly@ssd.com

#### Douglas L. Povich, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. 8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14<sup>th</sup> Floor Tysons Corner, VA 22182 T: 703.720.7888 F: 703.720.7801 dpovich@ssd.com

#### Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President

Baseline Telecom, Inc. 2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132 - #133 Lafayette, CO 80026 T: 303.444.1480 888.361.0603 JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			$\mathbf{P}_{A}$	AGE
OVE	RVIEV	W		1
I.	REC	ONFIC	GURATION PROGRESS	2
	A.	Sum	mary	2
		1.	Licenses to be Reconfigured	3
		2.	Assumptions	3
	В.	Reco	onfiguration Milestone Status	4
	C.	Freq	uency Reconfiguration Agreement Review	5
	D.	Reco	onfiguration Certifications	6
	E.	Reco	onfiguration FCC Applications	6
	F.	Freq	uency Proposals	7
	G.	Elect	tions	8
	Н.	Spec	cial Reconfiguration Considerations	9
		1.	Motorola Software Development	9
		2.	Planning Funding	9
		3.	Mutual Aid / Interoperability	10
		4.	Vendor-Related Issues	10
		5.	Fraud, Waste and Abuse	10
	I.	Reco	onfiguration Status During October 2005	10
II.	CON	<b>MUN</b> I	ICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS	12
	A.	Stak	eholder Inquiries	12
	В.	TA-I	Produced Materials	14
	C.	Outr	reach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training	16
	D.	Dire	ct Licensee Outreach Campaigns	17
	E.	TA F	Policy Updates	17
III.	DISI	PUTE F	RESOLUTION	17
	A.	Alter	rnative Dispute Resolution Program	17
	В.	Requ	uests for TA to Communicate with Licensees	19
IV.	FINA	ANCIA	L	19
	A.	Reco	onfiguration Expenditures	19
		1	200 MUz Incumbent costs	10

		2.	Sprint Nextel's 800 MHz Reconfiguration Costs
		3.	1.9 GHz Clearing Costs
	В.	Letter	of Credit20
	<b>C.</b>	Paym	ent Process
	D.	800 M	IHz Incumbent Reviews21
	E.	Exter	nal Auditor Selection21
	F.	Trans	ition Administrator21
		1.	Fees, Expenses and Staffing
		2.	Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees
V.	CON	CLUSIO	ON21
APPI	ENDIX	1:	Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives, For Quarter Ended September 30, 2005
APPI	ENDIX	2:	Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of September 30, 2005
APPI	ENDIX	3:	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005
APPI	ENDIX	4:	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005
APPI	ENDIX	5:	Status of Reconfiguration Contract Review, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005
APPI	ENDIX	6:	800 MHz Transition Administrator Fees and Expenses through September 30, 2005
APPI	ENDIX	7:	<b>Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections as of October 28, 2005</b>
APPI	ENDIX	8:	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of October 28, 2005
APPI	ENDIX	9:	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of October 28, 2005

#### **OVERVIEW**

800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC ("TA LLC") provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, with certain reconfiguration status information presented as of October 28, 2005. Pursuant to the FCC's Reconfiguration Orders, <sup>1</sup> the Transition Administrator ("TA"), <sup>2</sup> as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.<sup>3</sup>

Wave 1 reconfiguration formally began on June 27, 2005 for voluntary negotiations for Channels 1-120 licensees. The July to September 2005 quarter was the first quarter in which reconfiguration has been underway. Through September 30, 2005, approximately 20 percent of affected licensees in Wave 1 and approximately 12 percent of affected licensees in all Waves have reached agreement with Sprint Nextel regarding their system reconfiguration. As of September 30, 2005, the TA has received 146 completed reconfiguration agreements from Sprint Nextel for review and approval. The TA has approved a total of 128 agreements; the remaining 18 reconfiguration agreements were still under review as of September 30, 2005, as these were submitted at the end of this quarter. Based on these numbers, the TA believes that there will be a significant number of incomplete agreements at the end of the Wave 1 mandatory negotiation period on December 26, 2005. As of that date, those licensees and Sprint Nextel who have not completed their reconfiguration agreement will enter into the subsequent Alternative Dispute

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) ("Report and Order"), as amended by Erratum, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2004); Second Erratum, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004); Public Notice, "Commission Seeks Comment on Ex Parte Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding," 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); Third Erratum, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004); Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004); "Supplemental Order"); Erratum, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005); Memorandum Opinion and Order, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. October 5, 2005) ("Memorandum Opinion & Order") (collectively, "Reconfiguration Orders").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC ("TA LLC") is the Transition Administrator ("TA") for the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band mandated by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). TA LLC has contracted with BearingPoint, Inc. ("BearingPoint" or "BE"), Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. ("SSD") and Baseline Telecom, Inc. ("BTI") (each a "TA Member" and collectively "TA Members") to perform the duties of the TA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The *Report and Order* specifies that the TA is to provide quarterly progress reports regarding the progress of reconfiguration once reconfiguration in a given NPSPAC region begins. *Report and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 15037, ¶ 196. The FCC further specified that the quarterly reports are to include the TA's expenses and salary. *Id.* at 15123, ¶ 327. (This further requirement, however, does not appear in Rule 90.767(b)(3). Nonetheless, the TA intends to provide this information in each quarterly progress report.)

Resolution ("ADR") process. The TA is engaging licensees to facilitate their discussions with Sprint Nextel to reduce the number of incomplete negotiations that may remain at the end of the mandatory negotiation period. The TA continues to monitor the overall reconfiguration schedule; it is too early at this time to determine whether the Wave 1 implementation phase will be impacted.

In addition, in this quarter the TA continued its outreach efforts to stakeholders at several industry events. The TA also continued to respond to the many questions and requests for information it receives from licensees, vendors, consultants and others on a daily basis. In this quarter, the TA finalized and released its ADR Plan. The TA also responded to Economic Area ("EA") licensee elections. It has also received notices for Guard Band and Expansion Band elections. The FCC's recently released *Memorandum Opinion and Order* requires the TA to open a filing window for EA licensees to elect to relocate to the ESMR band. Additionally, in response to the devastation caused by Hurricane Katrina, the reconfiguration schedule for NPSPAC Region #18 for Louisiana was moved from Wave 2 to Wave 3.

The first section of the report describes the status and progress of reconfiguration, including the progress of Sprint Nextel/licensee contract negotiations, the TA's review and approval of finished contracts, frequency proposals and elections during the quarter ended September 30, 2005. Also included in this first section is a "flash" update of reconfiguration progress as of October 2005. The next section describes the multiple outreach and educational activities to 800 MHz stakeholders either conducted by or involving representatives of the TA. The third section provides the status of the TA's dispute resolution efforts and TA communications with licensees to assist in the contract negotiation process. Section four discusses financial matters associated with reconfiguration for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, including the TA's fees and costs.

#### I. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS

#### A. Summary

On June 27, 2005, reconfiguration officially commenced for the 15 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 1, affecting some 2400 call signs. This quarter was the first full quarter of reconfiguration and included the voluntary negotiation period for Wave 1 Channels 1-120 licensees as described in the TA's Reconfiguration Prioritization Plan ("RPP"). Through September 30, 2005, approximately 20 percent of affected licensees in Wave 1 and approximately 12 percent of affected licensees in all Waves have reached agreement with Sprint Nextel regarding their system reconfiguration. Based on these percentages, the TA believes that there will be a substantial number of incomplete Wave 1 Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements ("FRAs") at the close of mandatory negotiation period on December 26, 2005. The TA has undertaken measures designed to increase the rate of completed transactions to reduce the number of pending negotiations that will enter the ADR process at the end of the Wave 1 mandatory negotiation period. (See subsection B. below.)

#### 1. Licenses to be Reconfigured

The table below provides the TA's analysis of the current population of call signs per wave as defined in the RPP. The primary source of this data is the FCC's Universal Licensing Systems ("ULS") database with geographical augmentation by the TA to determine Public Safety Region and other Reconfiguration-specific information. This data is used to define the population of licenses that need to be addressed in the reconfiguration, and will be updated to reflect changes made to the ULS database.<sup>4</sup>

**Public Safety** Channels 1-**NPSPAC** SE-ESMR Expansion Total 120 Band **ESMR Band** Channel Wave **Number of Call Signs** Wave 1 0 2,485 870 425 1,190 Wave 2 12 1,397 604 283 498 Wave 3 501 312 710 256 1,779 Wave 4 2,447 836 375 1,236 0 **TOTAL** 2,811 1,395 3,634 268 8,108

Table 1: Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave<sup>5</sup>

#### 2. Assumptions

The TA has made certain assumptions regarding the population of licenses to be addressed in reconfiguration. First, for spectrum planning purposes, unless notified otherwise, the TA has assumed that all Public Safety licensees in the Expansion Band will relocate. The number of licensees that will be reconfigured will decrease as the TA receives election decisions from Public Safety incumbent licensees opting not to reconfigure. To date, the TA has received 36 election filings from Public Safety licensees to stay in the Expansion Band. Second, mobile-only systems and other secondary licenses (itinerant, demonstration and temporary) are not generally being reconfigured. Third, licenses under contract for voluntary reconfiguration agreements prior to May 27, 2005 for which Sprint Nextel will not be seeking credit are not included in the totals. Fourth, the call sign figures in this report include only active call signs. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without an FRA; it will also be increased for new call signs granted from pending applications. Finally, the TA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The table includes site-specific (non-EA) call signs with fixed locations above 851 MHz. It does not include Sprint Nextel or SouthernLINC call signs. There are a number of call signs with fixed locations licensed in the 806-824 MHz range that are not included in the counts but will, however, be reconfigured in association with related call signs that are included in the counts. *See* Appendix 2 for more detailed data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Appendix 2 contains additional information on current population of call signs per regions per waves.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Appendix 7 contains more information on Expansion Band election filings.

and Sprint Nextel have jointly defined milestones to track the status of ongoing reconfiguration activities at the licensee level.

#### **B.** Reconfiguration Milestone Status

Wave 1 reconfiguration started on June 27, 2005, with voluntary negotiations for Channels 1-120 licensees. By the end of the quarter ended September 30, 2005, the TA received 146 completed FRAs from Sprint Nextel for review and approval out of an expected total of 1083 agreements needed to clear the General Category portion of the 800 MHz band. 90 FRAs were for Wave 1 licensees out of an expected total of 383. The TA has approved a total of 128 of the 146 FRAs submitted, the remaining 18 FRAs were still under review by the TA as of September 30, 2005, as these were submitted at the end of the quarter. During the first three months of reconfiguration, there has been some reconfiguration activity in Channels 1-120, although no reconfiguration certifications have as yet been completed for any affected call signs. In subsequent reports, the TA will report on the number of completed Channels 1-120 call sign reconfigurations by NPSPAC region.

In October 2005,<sup>7</sup> the first month of the mandatory negotiation period for Wave 1, Sprint Nextel submitted an additional 42 FRAs. Based on the progress of negotiations to date between Sprint Nextel and affected Wave 1 licensees, it appears at this time that a significant number of Wave 1 licensees will not have completed negotiations with Sprint Nextel and executed an FRA as of the end of the mandatory negotiation period. Sprint Nextel estimated to the TA that as many as 50 Public Safety licensees, plus some additional number of non-Public Safety licensees, will not have entered into an FRA by the end of the mandatory negotiation period on December 26, 2005.

To mitigate the number of pending negotiations that will need to be resolved during the ADR process, the TA is: (1) ascertaining the status of licensee-Sprint Nextel negotiations in Wave 1 that have not yet resulted in an FRA submitted to the TA; (2) assisting licensees and Sprint Nextel to expedite their communications and encourage the exchange of key information to arrive at an agreed-upon cost estimate and, ultimately, an FRA; and (3) identifying ways to streamline the negotiation process for specific categories of transactions.

The initial step in the reconfiguration is to establish contact with the licensees. Sprint Nextel made progress in this quarter, decreasing the number of licensees with which they have been unable to establish contact from between 10 to 15, as reported in the previous quarterly progress report, to four. Much of the difficulty in locating these incumbents has been due to inaccurate or out-of-date licensee data in the FCC's ULS database. Additionally, Sprint Nextel has formally requested the TA's assistance to locate these licensees in an effort to communicate their obligations under the FCC's *Reconfiguration Orders*. Three of these requests for assistance were made prior to the end of September 2005. The TA has made some progress in locating contacts associated with the licenses in question and is continuing its efforts.

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See subsection I.I., *infra*, for additional updates regarding reconfiguration progress during October 1 to October 28, 2005.

Table 2 below provides a summary view of the number of FRAs currently being negotiated between Sprint Nextel and incumbents.

Table 2: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of September 30, 2005 (achieved milestones by number of FRAs)<sup>8</sup>

	Number of Channels 1- 120 FRAs	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Verbal Agreement	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Wave	Nur	mber of Frequenc	y Reconfiguration	n Agreements (FR	As)
Wave 1	383	372	156	90	79
Wave 2	230	214	87	46	40
Wave 3	301	65	15	7	6
Wave 4	153	25	8	3	3
Undetermined	16	11	1	0	0
Total	1083	687	267	146	128

More detailed tables providing the current status of reconfigurations – broken out both by the number of FRAs per region per wave, and the number of call signs per region per wave – are attached in Appendices 3 and 4, respectively.

#### C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

Through September 30, 2005, the TA has reviewed 146 FRAs. Table 3a below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve FRAs submitted to the TA by Sprint Nextel. The TA exceeded its service levels reporting agreement by completing review of 93.7 percent of the agreements within five business days, 98.5 percent of the agreements within 10 business days, and 100 percent of the agreements within 15 business days. Table 3b illustrates the TA's time to review compared to the service levels on a percentage basis.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Sprint Nextel is the data source for the first three columns. The figures have not been verified by the TA. The current population of FRAs will be adjusted during the reconfiguration process.

Table 3a: TA Reconfiguration Contract Review Timeframes (in Business Days) on Approval FRAs as of September 30, 2005<sup>9</sup>

	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Wave		Number of F	requency Reconf	figuration Agreer	nents (FRAs)	
Wave 1	76	3	0	0	0	79
Wave 2	36	2	2	0	0	40
Wave 3	5	1	0	0	0	6
Wave 4	3	0	0	0	0	3
Total for Waves 1-4	120	6	2	0	0	128

Table 3b: TA Contract Review Performance (as a percentage) vs. Service Levels

Time to Review	Within 5 business days	Within 10 business days	Within 15 business days
Service Levels	80%	95%	100%
TA's Performance	93.7%	98.5%	100%

Additional information regarding the status of reconfiguration contract review (on a per region, per wave basis) is attached as Appendix 5.

#### **D.** Reconfiguration Certifications

As of September 30, 2005, there have been no reconfiguration certifications submitted to the TA. The TA will provide a status update of reconfiguration certifications in subsequent reports.

#### **E.** Reconfiguration FCC Applications

The TA has been working with the FCC staff to define and implement data transfers to authenticate reconfiguration related applications. During this quarter, the TA worked with the FCC and Sprint Nextel to define an improved system to provide information to the FCC's ULS database to further expedite reconfiguration related applications. Table 4 below summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications before the FCC through September 30, 2005.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Due to a change in the way the TA calculates the percentage of approved FRAs, the cumulative numbers reported for the several review timeframes as reflected in Table 3a may differ from those numbers reported in the previous quarterly progress report.

Table 4: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for Channels 1-120 Call Signs as of September 30, 2005<sup>10</sup>

	Updated Population as of 9/30/05	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted Number of Call Sig	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Wave 1	870	96	65	4	2
Wave 2	604	78	62	4	2
Wave 3	501	7	5	1	1
Wave 4	836	7	4	0	0
TOTAL	2811	188	136	9	5

Appendix 4 also contains additional information regarding the TA's reconfiguration FCC application milestones (on a per region basis) as of September 30, 2005.

#### F. Frequency Proposals

The TA started frequency planning in late April 2005 for Wave 1 and started delivering Frequency Proposal Reports ("FPRs") with proposed replacement frequencies and certain co-channel information to Wave 1 licensees in mid-June.

Planning for Wave 2 started as soon as Wave 1 planning was completed, and FPRs for Wave 2 licensees started being delivered in mid-September. The delivery of Wave 2 FPRs was concurrent with the introduction of a web-based tool for licensees to access pre- and post-reconfiguration co-channel information. The use of the web tool has reduced the size, and cost of FPR mailings, and will provide licensees more current information as co-channel environments may change through the frequency planning and FCC licensing processes.

As of September 30, 2005, the TA had analyzed and proposed replacement frequencies for 3468 Wave 1 frequencies and 1763 Wave 2 frequencies. A further 948 had also been processed for Wave 3 or Wave 4 frequencies.

The TA has also sent FPRs for Wave 1 and 2 Public Safety Expansion Band call signs. While Public Safety licensees may elect to remain on their current channels, for planning purposes new frequencies proposals were prepared for all call signs. Most of these Expansion

<sup>10</sup> In each Wave there are several licensees that hold large numbers of call signs. For Wave 1, the TA is aware of two licensees that are in active negotiations with Sprint Nextel and together account for 15 percent of Wave 1 call signs. Active negotiations are also in progress for most of

account for 15 percent of Wave 1 call signs. Active negotiations are also in progress for most of the similar Wave 2 licensees. *See* Appendix 2 for details on the current population of call signs on a per Wave, per region basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> As of September 30, 2005, Public Safety licensees had filed elections <u>not</u> to reconfigure for 73 call signs. The total by NPSPAC region is summarized in Appendix 2.

Band frequencies will be reconfigured in the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channels following the clearing of 851-854 MHz, and thus were processed subsequent to 851-854 MHz proposals. Calls signs related to Public Safety licensees that also have 851-854 MHz channels were given priority in preparation in anticipation that those licensees would likely be the first to reconfigure out of the Expansion Band.

For each Wave, proposals for certain call signs were not generated or have been delayed because:

- The call sign is licensed in the Canadian border region.
- The call sign was already under contract prior to the start of reconfiguration. 12
- There are negotiations continuing between Sprint Nextel and incumbents relating to ESMR and EA license elections, or pending FCC rulings on ESMR/EA issues.
- There are pending applications to be granted that materially affect technical parameters. (As these applications have been granted, frequency proposals have been sent to the licensee(s).)
- There are unresolved co-channel distance and other technical issues. (As these issues have been resolved, frequency proposals have been processed and sent to the licensee(s).)

#### G. Elections

Economic Area Elections. The TA received 13 EA election filings by the stated May 13, 2005 deadline. On September 27, 2005, the TA distributed letters to four licensees informing them of the TA's decision regarding their filings. In addition, the FCC's Memorandum Opinion & Order made certain rule changes and clarifications affecting the relocation of licensees to the ESMR band. The FCC directed the TA to open a filing window for EA licensees to elect to relocate to the ESMR band or to modify their previous elections. Accordingly, the TA plans in the upcoming quarter to open the specified 20-day filing window for EA licensees to submit election filings to relocate to the ESMR band.

*Guard Band Elections*. The TA received two Guard Band election filings in response to the TA's June 29, 2005 Press Release announcing that incumbent licensees subject to mandatory relocation (operating on frequencies between 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz) could elect to move

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> These are call signs already subject to a voluntary reconfiguration contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the May 27, 2005 application freeze for Wave 1 but had not yet had applications granted removing those frequencies requiring reconfiguration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See 800 MHz Transition Administrator's Ex Parte Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed April 21, 2005) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline).

to the Guard Band. <sup>14</sup> The deadline for submitting Guard Band election filings for Wave 1 licensees was July 20, 2005.

Expansion Band Elections. The TA received 36 election filings in response to its June 28, 2005 Press Release announcing that incumbent Public Safety licensees could elect to remain in the Expansion Band. <sup>15</sup> The deadline for submitting Expansion Band election filings for Wave 1 licensees was September 27, 2005. Prior to September 30, 2005, the TA granted two requests for an extension of 45 days or less to submit the Expansion Band election filing. The list of entities filing Expansion Band elections appears in Appendix 7.

#### **H.** Special Reconfiguration Considerations

#### 1. Motorola Software Development

During this quarter, Motorola completed two additional major milestones in its development of rebanding radio software, which will allow hundreds of thousands of radios to be reprogrammed rather than replaced. The TA participated in the review of Milestone #4 regarding Certification Test Scripts and Summary Requirements for the software. Motorola has indicated to the TA that, at this time, it does not foresee any difficulties in meeting the April 2006 deadline to deliver the software for implementation.

#### 2. Planning Funding

The provision of Planning Funding has emerged as an important issue for large Public Safety systems, whose reconfiguration is often a complex effort requiring careful advance planning prior to or in parallel with negotiation of an FRA with Sprint Nextel. To date, Sprint Nextel reports that they have received 42 Requests for Planning Funding ("RFPFs"), of which one has been submitted to the TA and approved. In seven instances, Sprint Nextel and the incumbent have agreed to include the requested costs in the FRA. In 14 other instances, vendor services are involved for which insufficient detail was provided for conduct of negotiations or for the TA to be able to conduct its review. The TA facilitated a series of meetings and discussions starting in late August 2005 with Sprint Nextel, various vendors and several licensees to provide additional guidance for creating RFPFs with the level of detail required to obtain funding, consistent with previously established TA guidance. The objective is to ensure vendors and licensees provide adequate detail regarding tasks to be performed, level of effort, and labor rates so that negotiations with Sprint Nextel and the TA's review of submissions are expedited and to minimize requests for cost clarification. In particular, the TA worked with Sprint Nextel and Motorola, using input from licensees, to develop a TA-approved Planning Statement of Work template for Motorola's provision of planning services to licensees. Sprint Nextel reports that 14 of the remaining RFPFs are under negotiation between Sprint Nextel and licensees, while six require additional information in order to be considered official submissions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See 800 MHz Transition Administrator's Ex Parte Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed June 30, 2005) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See id.

For licensees with larger and more complex planning efforts, the TA has also developed a detailed example of a Planning Statement of Work that will be published in the upcoming quarter, along with additional guidance for preparation of the Planning Statement of Work. Sprint Nextel has also augmented its resources to more quickly respond to RFPFs, and Motorola and other vendors are devoting substantial resources to assist licensees with planning efforts. The TA expects these collective efforts will speed the approval for RFPFs and make the process easier for licensees. The TA has also adjusted its RFPF process, requesting that licensees concurrently submit RFPFs to Sprint Nextel and to the TA.

#### 3. Mutual Aid / Interoperability

In the upcoming quarter, the TA will establish a working group to focus on mutual aid and interoperability issues. The TA anticipates meeting with key constituents in the licensee and vendor communities to establish recommendations and guidelines for maintaining critical communications during reconfiguration.

#### 4. Vendor-Related Issues

During this quarter, the TA reviewed certain issues of concern to vendors providing reconfiguration services. In response to these concerns, the TA has been preparing additional vendor guidance regarding program safeguards afforded vendors, required program rules for vendors and the vendor payment mechanism. The TA expects to finalize this guidance in the upcoming quarter.

In addition, the TA has been working with Sprint Nextel to finalize the Standard Bid Package, which is designed to assist municipal licensees with the bidding process to select vendors to provide reconfiguration services. Use of the Standard Bid Package by municipal licensees is not mandatory. The draft Standard Bid Package consists of several template documents: Requests for Proposals, Invitation to Submit a Proposal, Statement of Work Template, and Rebanding Agreement. The TA expects to finalize the Standard Bid Package during the upcoming quarter.

#### 5. Fraud, Waste and Abuse

During this quarter, the TA's fraud, waste and abuse working group developed recommended steps to address potential fraud or other illegalities in the reconfiguration program. The TA will continue to monitor potential fraud, waste and abuse throughout the life of the program and will implement appropriate anti-fraud measures in the upcoming quarter and beyond.

#### I. Reconfiguration Status During October 2005

FRA Negotiations. Table 5 below provides a summary of the number of FRAs currently under negotiation between Sprint Nextel and incumbents (and updates the data in Table 2, supra, through October 20, 2005.

**Table 5: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120** as of October 28, 2005 (achieved milestones by number of FRAs)<sup>16</sup>

	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Verbal Agreement	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Wave	Nur	mber of Frequenc	y Reconfiguration	n Agreements (FR	As)
Wave 1	383	373	207	115	106
Wave 2	230	216	94	61	58
Wave 3	301	69	21	8	8
Wave 4	158	35	12	4	4
Undetermined	23	15	3	0	0
Total	1095	708	337	188	176

Reconfiguration FCC Applications. Table 6 below summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications before the FCC through October 28, 2005.

Table 6: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for Channels 1-120 Call Signs as of October 28, 2005

	Updated Population as of 9/30/05	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
			Number of Call Si	_	
Wave 1	870	132	98	9	4
Wave 2	604	107	82	5	4
Wave 3	501	11	6	1	1
Wave 4	836	12	5	1	0
TOTAL	2811	262	191	16	9

Detailed tables providing the current status of reconfigurations – broken out both by the number of FRAs per region per wave, and the number of call signs per region per wave – are attached to this report in Appendices 8 and 9, respectively.

11

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  Sprint Nextel is the data source for the first three columns. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Current population of FRAs will be adjusted during the reconfiguration process.

#### II. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS

The TA's communications with stakeholders account for both proactive communications initiated by the TA ("Stakeholder Outreach") and responsive communications to inquiries submitted by the affected community. Stakeholder Outreach includes the development and publication of communication materials (print and electronic) designed to disseminate and share information about the 800 MHz Reconfiguration Program, the process and the Regional Prioritization Plan schedule with licensees and other affected community members. In addition to the printed and electronic communications materials, the TA has participated in several conferences and symposia to interact with and educate licensees on the RPP and the Reconfiguration Process. To further equip licensees on the process, the TA launched an educational series in July 2005 to facilitate access to knowledge sharing opportunities without requiring licensees to travel to conference/symposium locations. This series is delivered via a Web seminar ("Webinar") format over the Internet and provides a cost-effective means by which to reach affected stakeholders. This multi-pronged Stakeholder Outreach effort enables the TA to address the differing needs of the affected community (by addressing their questions, concerns, and equipping them to reconfigure their networks) and to facilitate licensee preparation in meeting the requirements of the RPP.

#### A. Stakeholder Inquiries

As noted in previous reports, the TA has established a "Contact Center" to receive and process questions, requests for information, etc., regarding reconfiguration and the TA's activities. The Contact Center is staffed by trained call agents to answer inquiries or direct them to the appropriate TA resource for a response. Each inquiry, whether received by e-mail, phone or facsimile, is documented and retained by the Contact Center and tracked until it is resolved. The TA uses industry-standard tools and practices to track all inquiries and manage the Contact Center.

The TA receives inquiries from a variety of communities interested in reconfiguration: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and trade press. The TA's general policy is to respond to most inquiries within 24 hours of receipt, except in those few instances where a response may require additional research. In this quarter, the TA received a total of 463 inquiries to the Contact Center (198 in July; 129 in August; and 136 in September). In comparison to the previous quarter it should be noted that the overall inquiry volume per month remained consistent. The data illustrated peaks and valleys in the total volume of inquiries after communications were distributed to licensees, such as the Information Package and Frequency Proposal Report mailings. Access to the Contact Center is a critical component to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement their system(s) reconfiguration.

In addition, in order to categorize and respond efficiently to licensee inquiries, the Contact Center utilizes the categories and descriptions listed in Table 7 below:

**Table 7: Stakeholder Inquiry Classifications** 

Category	Description	Inquiry Volume
Reconfiguration & Relocation	Answers to this category of questions describe the basics of reconfiguration.	11%
The TA's Core Functions	Answers for this category of questions introduce stakeholders to the TA and describe the basics of the TA's role within for reconfiguration.	0.5%
Regional Prioritization Plan	Answers for this category of questions introduce the Stakeholders to the plan that the TA is using for reconfiguration.	4%
Negotiations	Answers for this category of questions include any question involving the sequence of steps to conclude an agreement with Sprint Nextel.	9%
Reconfiguration Planning & Process Guidelines	Answers for this category of questions describe the activities required to perform and complete reconfiguration planning.	18%
Frequency Assignments	Answers for this category of questions indicates issues regarding the licensees' new frequency assignments.	16%
Reconfiguration Costs	Answers for this category of questions describe the payment process and address the different payment policies and schedules the TA has established.	7%
Logistics/Administrative-Related	Answers for this category of questions include topics such as:  - Instructions for filing different TA forms - Request for TA Collateral Materials - Website Assistance - Webinar Assistance - Guidance for Filing FCC Election - Meeting and outreach request	34.5%
Total		100%

#### **B.** TA-Produced Materials

The TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including brochures, fact sheets, licensee forms, TA press releases, and other material, as listed below. Most items are posted on the TA's website (<a href="www.800ta.org">www.800ta.org</a>).

Reconfiguration Background and General Information:

- Overview Brochure Tri-fold brochure that provides an overview of the reconfiguration program, TA, RPP and schedule, negotiation process and reconfiguration costs. Through the end of the quarter, over 3000 copies have been distributed at conferences and events. An updated version will be available during the upcoming quarter.
- Quick Reference Guide A 20-page booklet that provides an overview of the reconfiguration and planning steps for licensees to prepare for relocation, as well as information on the RPP and important contact information. The guide has been distributed to all Wave 1 and Wave 2 licensees (via the points of contact where they were provided, and addresses available in the ULS database). Through the end of the quarter, over 1500 copies have also been distributed at conferences and events during this quarter. An updated version will be available in the upcoming quarter.
- Reconfiguration Handbook A 62-page summary book providing an overview of reconfiguration, the RPP, reconfiguration phases, and detailed guidance on planning for reconfiguration, as well as TA contact information. Print version available on demand. Release 1.0 released in April 2005 and then updated in June 2005 in Release 1.1. Through the end of the quarter, over 230 requests for printed copies have been fulfilled. An expanded and updated version will be available in the upcoming quarter.
- Frequency Proposal Report Fact Sheet A five-page, detailed explanation of the FPRs distributed to reconfiguring licensees regarding the exchange of their existing 800 MHz frequencies for proposed new frequencies. An updated version was mailed to Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees beginning September 30, 2005 and posted on the TA's website on October 3, 2005. The new version includes reference to the newly available online co-channel information (via unique URLs provided to licensees included in the Frequency Proposal Report).

Based on stakeholder feedback for shorter, more focused material on specific topics, the TA also developed and distributed several issue-specific "Fact Sheets" during the quarter. Approximately 1000 of each of the following Fact Sheets have been distributed to stakeholders at various events:

• Expansion Band Fact Sheet: A two-page summary of the issues impacting the Expansion Band, and instructions to licensees in that Band.

- Mutual Aid and Interoperability Fact Sheet: A two-page summary of mutual aid and interoperability issues, as well as sample solutions to aid licensees affected by those issues.
- Planning Fact Sheet: A two-page summary of the preparatory steps that the TA recommends for reconfiguring licensees to complete planning and negotiations for the 800 MHz Reconfiguration Program.
- Funding Fact Sheet: A two-page summary discussing planning costs and actual reconfiguration costs, defining how licensees should apply for reimbursement.
- Planning and Negotiation Windows: A schedule of important planning, freeze, voluntary and mandatory negotiation dates for each Wave of licensees.
- Amended RPP Map: As a consequent of Hurricane Katrina, Louisiana (NPSPAC Region 18) was moved from Wave 2 to Wave 3.

The TA also maintains a number of forms on its website for licensees to initiate specific reconfiguration actions. New forms made available this quarter include:

- ADR Request for Mediation Form Form for licensees to request mediation in their reconfiguration negotiations with Sprint Nextel.
- ADR Waiver Form Form for licensees to waive any objection to the disclosure of mediation communications by the TA to the FCC.

#### Mailings to licensees this quarter included:

- "Information Package" mailed to Wave 2 licensees on August 12, 2005, included cover letter, Quick Reference Guide, POC Form with a self addressed envelope.
- Frequency Proposal Reports mailed to Wave 2, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Expansion Band) licensees starting on September 30, 2005.
- Mandatory Negotiations letter mailed on September 23, 2005 to indicate the September 27, 2005 start of mandatory negotiations for Wave1, Stage 1 licensees.

Press Releases. The TA released the following press releases this quarter:

- "Transition Administrator (TA) Announces Start of Knowledge Sharing Activities" (July 18, 2005)
- "800 MHz Transition Administrator Announces Wave 2 Reconfiguration Start Date and Reschedules Louisiana for Wave 3" (September 7, 2005)
- "800 MHz Transition Administrator Announces Mandatory Negotiations for Wave 1 Stage 1" (September 27, 2005)

The TA has also engaged in other outreach efforts. For example, it has started regularly contributing to newsletters, journals and other publications of stakeholder associations such as Association of Public Safety Communication Officials International Inc. ("APCO"), United

Telecom Council ("UTC"), and Enterprise Wireless Alliance ("EWA"). TA representatives authored an article on reconfiguration that appeared in the September 2005 edition of the *Mission Critical* trade magazine.

#### www.800TA.org:

The TA's website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. It provides easy access to a variety of information for the affected community. The site includes salient details about the 800 MHz Reconfiguration Program, links to FCC and other related sites, press releases, Webinar registration, event schedules and reconfiguration guidance. The TA's website was re-launched on July 15, 2005. During this quarter, the site received an estimated 22,000 total hits.

#### C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training

Meetings and conferences attended by TA representatives in this quarter are provided in Appendix 1.

In the upcoming quarter, the TA will attend the EWA Annual Conference, the National League of Cities Annual Conference, and is planning an 800 MHz symposium with UTC.

Moreover, the TA has delivered several web-based training seminars ("Webinars") on the various facets of reconfiguration for affected licensees. All modules in the series are tailored for delivery by system size – large or small – to allow for dialogue and questions to relate to the specific circumstances of each. Through the end of the quarter, the TA has offered two modules: Module 1: Planning and Negotiations; and Module 2: Frequency Proposals. Module 1 has been offered five times, with total participation of 136 persons. Module 2 has been offered four times, with a total participation of 96 persons. The Webinar series to date has totaled 232 attendees across the following stakeholder groups: 48% Public Safety; 2.5% CII; 1.7% B/ILT and 16% Other (consultants, vendors, etc.), with the remainder unidentified.

Webinars have proven to be an effective, low-cost method for reaching wide audiences and providing interactive and just-in-time guidance. The TA solicited feedback following each delivery. According to these participant surveys, the reaction to the Webinars has been overwhelmingly positive, with participants indicating that the opportunity for live discussion is the most helpful aspect. Some commonly asked categories of questions include:

- Planning and Negotiations: For example, "Are Wave 1 NPSPAC licensees able to begin planning/negotiations right now?"
- Reimbursable expenses: For example, "To what extent are legal costs reimbursable?" and "Are frequency comparability studies covered?"
- Status of specific requests or issues: For example, "I am a Public Safety licensee, and I have submitted a Request for Planning Funding Form to Sprint Nextel. Can I get a status update?"

• Frequency Relocation Questions: For example, "Am I guaranteed to have the same protection for co-channel and adjacent channel as I did before?" and "What happens if I don't believe my new frequencies are comparable?"

#### **D.** Direct Licensee Outreach Campaigns

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, and in response to specific requests from the Public Safety community, the TA has increased its communication and outreach efforts in this quarter. For example, the TA initiated an outbound campaign to all licensees in Wave 1, Stage 1 that have not yet entered into a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement. One goal of this campaign is to identify and resolve any issues that may impede progress. A second goal is to forecast the number of licensees who have not entered into FRAs by the end of the mandatory negotiation period for Wave 1 and would therefore enter into the ADR process on December 27, 2005.

Direct outreach activities are based on an ongoing assessment of licensees who need additional support from the TA in preparing to reconfigure their systems. The TA has established four regions – Wave 1 East and West, Wave 2, Wave 3 and Wave 4 East and West – and assigned outreach managers responsible for identifying and resolving issues raised by licensees associated with their reconfiguration. During the quarter, the TA conducted 76 one-on-one licensee meetings across 49 licensee organizations.

#### E. TA Policy Updates

TA policy documents addressing specific issues continue to be developed and published by posting on the TA's website as they become available. The TA's first policy guidance material, the Reconfiguration Handbook, was published on April 21, 2005 and revised on June 3, 2005. In this quarter, the TA published its Educational Reimbursement Policy on September 7, 2005. On October 26, 2005, the TA published two additional policy documents: Incumbent Labor Rate Reimbursement Policy and Cost Classification Policy. (Copies of all three policy statements are available on the TA's website.)

The TA maintains an ongoing policy formulation and review process to accommodate stakeholder feedback and to identify and address other policy needs and lessons learned. As policy needs are identified, draft guidance is prepared and reviewed with appropriate stakeholders before release. The TA expects to publish additional policy guidance in the upcoming quarter.

#### III. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

#### A. Alternative Dispute Resolution Program

As directed in the *Reconfiguration Orders*, the TA has established an Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") program to assist in the resolution of disputes between 800 MHz stakeholders that arise during the reconfiguration process. The ADR program was developed and will be managed by the TA's General Counsel.

In summary, the ADR program establishes a framework to facilitate the resolution of disputes by the parties. The TA cannot unilaterally bind Sprint Nextel or any incumbent to any obligation associated with reconfiguration. The TA may, in its discretion, direct a TA Mediator to mediate negotiations between the parties during the "voluntary" negotiation period if both parties request mediation. During the subsequent "mandatory" negotiation period, the TA may, in its discretion, mediate the negotiation of a reconfiguration agreement at the request of either party or on its own motion. If a reconfiguring licensee and Sprint Nextel fail to complete a TA-approved reconfiguration agreement during the voluntary or mandatory negotiation periods, the parties will be required to participate in a 30 working day mediation process designed to help the parties reach a reconfiguration agreement. The mediation will be conducted by a TA Mediator. If the parties are unable to reach agreement, the TA Mediator is directed to forward to the FCC the ADR record, "together with advice on how the matter(s) may be resolved."

800 MHz licensees affected by reconfiguration also may ask the TA to help resolve other disputes related to the reconfiguration. Examples of disputes that may be referred to the TA include:

- Disputes involving the implementation of reconfiguration agreements/rebanding payment disputes;
- Disputes related to interference experienced by Public Safety licensees; and
- Disputes involving the negotiation of a channel distribution agreement between SouthernLINC and Sprint Nextel.

The TA filed its ADR Plan with the FCC on August 23, 2005. (A copy of the ADR Plan is available on the TA's website.) The TA is in the process of preparing a revised version of the ADR Plan to reflect the FCC's recent *Memorandum Opinion & Order* and to incorporate certain changes suggested by 800 MHz stakeholders.

There have been no requests for TA mediation or other ADR-related activities as of September 30, 2005.

On December 26, 2005, the mandatory negotiation period for Wave 1 licensees will end. At the close of the mandatory negotiation period, the FCC's *Reconfiguration Orders* require licensees and Sprint Nextel to participate in a 30 working day mediation process if they have failed to negotiate a reconfiguration agreement. The mediation is to be conducted by a TA Mediator. In this quarter, the TA initiated efforts, including the development and preparation of various materials and processes in anticipation of the end of the mandatory negotiation period and the start of the mediation process on December 27, 2005. In particular, the TA has finalized its internal ADR implementation plan, which includes training a sufficient number of mediators and developing flexible strategies to expedite the resolution of disputes likely to arise during mediation.

#### B. Requests for TA to Communicate with Licensees

Under the Commission's rules, relocating incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel may agree to conduct face-to-face negotiations of relocation agreements or either party may elect to communicate with the other party through the TA. The TA's goal in such communications is to ensure timely communication and to facilitate the exchange of information necessary to enable the negotiation of relocation agreements between Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees.

To date, virtually all of the negotiations have been conducted directly between the parties. In the quarter ended September 30, 2005, the TA received no new requests for the TA to communicate on behalf of either an incumbent licensee or Sprint Nextel; however, the TA continues to act on two requests made by Sprint Nextel for the TA to communicate with licensees. The TA mailed letters to each of the named contacts for the licensees, and the TA is following up with telephone calls and additional communications to further the exchange of information necessary to enable meaningful negotiations.

In October, the TA received a request from a licensee for the TA to communicate with Sprint Nextel. The TA has followed up with telephone calls and correspondence designed to facilitate the exchange of information between the parties in an effort to enable them to reach agreement.

As part of the process associated with requests for the TA to communicate, the TA copies both parties on all communications initiated by the TA. In all such communications, the TA makes clear that the TA is not representing either party in the negotiations.

#### IV. FINANCIAL

#### **A.** Reconfiguration Expenditures

#### 1. 800 MHz Incumbent costs

As of September 30, 2005, Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees had executed reconfiguration contracts pursuant to TA-approved cost estimates totaling \$1,851,223; of this amount, Sprint Nextel had paid \$420,968 and had open commitments to pay \$1,430,255 under the terms of these contracts.

#### 2. Sprint Nextel's 800 MHz Reconfiguration Costs

On October 22, 2005, Sprint Nextel reported to the TA that, through September 30, 2005, it had incurred approximately \$325 million in internal costs for relocating its systems in the 800 MHz band. The TA is in discussions with Sprint Nextel regarding the review schedule and types of records and other materials (such as underlying accounting records, transaction documentation and analyses of cost allocations) that are to be provided by Sprint Nextel to allow the TA to conduct its review of these costs.

Additionally, Sprint Nextel has informed the TA that it may have incurred additional internal relocation costs not included in the estimated amounts reported to date. Sprint Nextel has indicated that it is currently performing an assessment of the amounts of such costs and will include these costs in subsequent reports to the TA for its review.

#### 3. 1.9 GHz Clearing Costs

Sprint Nextel estimates, as reported to the TA, that it has incurred approximately \$41 million in costs associated with reconfiguration of the 1.9 GHz band through September 30, 2005. (These costs are reported for informational purposes only. The TA will not conduct a review of these costs.)

#### **B.** Letter of Credit

For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, Sprint Nextel had made all its required payments to licensees and vendors within 30 days of the relevant Payment Obligation Date. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letter of Credit through September 30, 2005.

The TA is coordinating with Sprint Nextel to develop a timeline by which Sprint Nextel will provide its reconfiguration forecast, together with detailed support and underlying assumptions, for TA review. There is no indication at this time that the Letter of Credit balance is insufficient to cover the costs of reconfiguration or that the Letter of Credit should be increased. Since Sprint Nextel has not yet presented its forecast to the TA for review, nor requested a reduction in the Letter of Credit, the TA does not recommend a reduction in the Letter of Credit at this time. The TA will reassess the need to increase or reduce the Letter of Credit in the quarterly progress report to be filed for the quarter ending December 31, 2005.

#### **C.** Payment Process

Sprint Nextel recently implemented a process for payment of 800 MHz reconfiguration expenditures to licensees and vendors. Because the payment process used by Sprint Nextel relies on processes and controls that are under continued development and refinement, the TA has implemented additional procedures, in cooperation with Sprint Nextel, to support its monitoring and review responsibilities outlined in the Payment Process previously provided to the FCC. These procedures encompass entering underlying data relevant to the Payment Process into a TA tracking system to run in parallel with Sprint Nextel's existing process to ensure timely payment by Sprint Nextel. Sprint Nextel plans to enhance and automate its processes and controls supporting the Payment Process. Once these processes are implemented and reviewed to the satisfaction of the TA, the TA plans to rely on these processes and controls so that parallel processing by the TA will no longer be necessary. The expected timeframe for such implementation is during the first or second quarter of 2006.

#### D. 800 MHz Incumbent Reviews

As of September 30, 2005, no licensee reconfigurations have been completed. Accordingly, the TA has not yet performed any final reviews of amounts expended on licensee reconfigurations.

#### E. External Auditor Selection

The TA is soliciting bids for an external auditor to conduct the annual audits of 800 MHz expenditures. The TA expects to select an external auditor in the fourth quarter of 2005.

#### F. Transition Administrator

#### 1. Fees, Expenses and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending September 30, 2005 were \$7,422,562 in fees and \$495,393 in expenses, for a total of \$7,917,955, versus a forecast previously reported to the FCC of \$8,500,000 in fees and \$700,000 in expenses, for a total of \$9,200,000. Fees and expenses were less than forecasted primarily as a result of lower than anticipated reconfiguration activity. Inception-to-date fees and expenses are \$20,823,214 in fees and \$1,138,612 in expenses, for a total of \$21,961,826. TA staffing as of September 30, 2005 consisted of 79 full-time equivalents ("FTEs"). The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending December 31, 2005 are estimated at \$7,800,000 in fees and \$400,000 in expenses, for a total of \$8,200,000. Additional details are provided in the attached Appendix 6.

#### 2. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, <sup>17</sup> the TA reports that BearingPoint received \$1,049,069.16 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ending September 30, 2005.

#### V. CONCLUSION

This quarter was the first full quarter with the reconfiguration effort fully underway. The systems, procedures and policies that the TA developed in anticipation of the Reconfiguration Start Date provided critical support during this quarter, and are designed to support the much higher level of activity anticipated as the remaining waves of NPSPAC regions commence reconfiguration. The TA expects these policies and procedures to evolve as reconfiguration enters its second full quarter.

Based on the number of reconfiguration agreements completed to date, the TA anticipates a significant number of incomplete Wave 1 agreements at the end of the mandatory negotiation period on December 26, 2005 that will enter the ADR process called for in the *Report and* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Independence Management Plan for the 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.1), WT Docket No. 02-55, 4 (filed May 9, 2005).

*Order.* The TA is taking steps to prepare to implement the ADR program on December 27, 2005 and into the first quarter of 2006, including steps to reduce the number of possible incomplete FRAs that may remain at the end of the mandatory negotiation period. The TA also expects to begin receiving requests for mediation prior to the close of the mandatory negotiation period. The TA continues to monitor the overall reconfiguration schedule; it is too early at this time to determine whether the Wave 1 implementation phase will be impacted.

Outreach and education efforts have continued and will continue to ensure that affected licensees are informed regarding the reconfiguration process and how it may impact their systems. The TA will submit its next Quarterly Progress Report on February 6, 2006, regarding the progress of reconfiguration through the quarter ending December 31, 2005.

#### Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives For Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

#### July 2005:

- NPSPAC Region 40 800 MHz Planning Meeting
- Northern California Chapter of APCO (NAPCO) Chapter Meeting
- California Public Radio Association (CPRA) Meeting
- Virginia Information Technologies Agency (VITA)

#### <u>August 2005</u>:

- CPRA Meeting
- Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials (APCO) 2005 Annual Conference]

#### September 2005:

- CPRA Meeting
- NAPCO Meeting
- NPSPAC Region 4 800 Users Meeting
- NPSPAC Region 39 Meeting
- NPSPAC Regions 3 and 5 Meeting

#### Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of September 30, 2005

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005

Current F	Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005							
Public			Public Safety	NPSPAC	SE-ESMR ESMR			
Safety		Channole 1-120	Expansion Band	Channel	Band	Total		
Region	PSR Name	Chamileis 1-120		umber of Call Sigr		TOLAT		
Wave 1	Subtotal	870		1190		2485		
6	CA - North	113	109	108	0	330		
7	Colorado	29	14	127	0	170		
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	98	27	114	0	239		
11	Hawaii	60	5	19	0	84		
13	Illinois	45	23	105	0	173		
14	Indiana	29	44	86	0	159		
19	ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT*	83	25	87	0	195		
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	67	23	74		164		
27	Nevada	94	24	19	0	137		
28	NJ, PA, DE	71	36	221	0	328		
35	Oregon	56	12	27	0	95		
41	Utah	21	13	61	0	95		
42	Virginia	52	23	32	0	107		
45	Wisconsin	14	11	2	0	27		
54	Chicago	38	36	108	0	182		
Wave 2	Subtotal	604	283	498	12	1397		
4	Arkansas	39	51	68	0	158		
12	Idaho*	15	6	0	0	21		
15	Iowa	17	20	5	0	42		
16	Kansas	33	9	149	0	191		
17	Kentucky	16	24	8	0	48		
22	Minnesota*	80	23	31	0	134		
24	Missouri	38	15	16	0	69		
25	Montana*	21	0	0	0	21		
26	Nebraska	12	11	18	0	41		
32	North Dakota*	13	1	1	0	15		
34	Oklahoma	26	12	15	0	53		
38	South Dakota	11	1	0	0	12		
39	Tennessee	46	44	44	12	146		
40	TX - Dallas	39	23	39	0	101		
44	West Virginia	4	3	8	0	15		
46	Wyoming	1	2	4	0	7		
47	Puerto Rico	69	6	10	0	85		
48	USVI	23	0	0	0	23		
49	TX - Austin	12	14	47	0	73		
51	TX - Houston	45	15	33	0	93		
52	TX - Lubbock	44	3	2	0	49		
Wave 3	Subtotal	501	312	710	256	1779		
1	Alabama	10	31	19	58	118		
9	Florida	212	87	265	38	602		
10	Georgia	55	34	54	84	227		
	Louisiana	90	58	51	5	204		
23	Mississippi	25	25	16		117		
31	North Carolina	69	41	165		282		
37	South Carolina	40	36	140		229		
Wave 4	Subtotal	836	375	1236		2447		
2	Alaska*	36	8	1	0	45		
3	Arizona*	81	28	56		165		
5	CA - South*	140	131	294	0	565		
21	Michigan*	60	2	255		317		
29	New Mexico*	29	4	9		42		
30	NY - Albany*	96	69	178	0	343		
33	Ohio*	103	40	119		262		
36	Pennsylvania*	12	20	139	0	171		
43	Washington*	159	26	138	0	323		
50	TX - El Paso*	12	5	2		19		
53	TX - San Antonio*	17	18	23 18	0	58		
54 55	MI portion of Chicago*	9	8 16			35 102		
	New York - Buffalo*	82		4				
i otal for	Waves 1-4	2811	1395	3634	268	8108		

Public Safety Expansion Band Elections Totals, as of September 30, 2005

(Elections NOT to Reconfigure)

DOD DOD N						
PSR	PSR Name	Call Signs				
6	CA - North	10				
7	Colorado	2				
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	20				
11	Hawaii	2				
13	Illinois	5				
14	Indiana	1				
19	ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT*	1				
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	6				
27	Nevada	1				
28	NJ, PA, DE	13				
	Virginia	3				
54	Chicago	5				
·	Grand Total	69				

Frequency Proposal Reports for Wave 1 and 2, as of September 30, 2005

Status	Wa	ave 1	Wave 2	
	1-120	Exp Band	1-120	Exp Band
FPRs Sent	83.1%	96.6%	65.6%	32.6%
FPRs (in process on September 30,	0.0%	0.9%	9.2%	46.7%
In Border Zone	2.5%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%
Under Prior Contract	8.0%	0.4%	9.0%	0.0%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	5.4%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised proposal analysis	1.0%	2.1%	3.7%	20.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Notes:

- \* PSR includes international border area, data may change depending on outcome of treaty negotiation.
- a. Data for Channel 1-120 call signs excludes call signs in Wave 1 and Wave 2 that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Sprint Nextel and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees no longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.
- d. 1-120 Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies the 851 854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. Expansion Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined in inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866 869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. SE\_ESMR ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in 858.5 862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Data may also include call signs authorized under a Special Temporary Authority if the STA is to operate pending the grant of a regular authorization.
- e. Data has not been adjusted to reflect the change in the band-plan in the Atlanta area per the Memorandum Opinion and Order released October 5, 2005. It has been adjusted to reflect the move of PSR 18, Louisiana, from Wave 2 to Wave 3, per the TA's Press Release dated September 7, 2005.

Appendix 3
Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005

			Sprint Nextel and		
		Sprint Nextel	Licensee Reach		
	Number of	Initiated Contact	Verbal Agreement		FRAs Approved by
	Channels 1-	with Licensee (a)	(a)	TA	TA
Public Safety Region	120 FRAs (a)	Number o	of Frequency Recon	figuration Agreemen	its (FRAs)
Wave 1	383	372	156		_
Multiregion	49	46	19	10	8
PSR Undetermined (b)	3	1	0	0	
6	44	44	21	12	12
7	16	16	7	3	
8	39	39	17	10	9
11	13	12	6	3	3
13	21	21	10	4	
14	15	15	6	3	
19	28	28	6	3	
20	23	23	2	0	
27	25	25	19	11	
28	26	26	8	8	
35	23	22	10	4	
41	7	7	5	5	
42	17	17	6	5	
45	9	9	8	7	5
54	25	21	6	2	2
Wave 2	230	214	87	46	
Multiregion	25	23	4	3	
PSR Undetermined (b)	2	1	1	1	•
4	12	11	1	0	
12	8	8	6	2	
15	6	6	3	1	
16	12	11	5	3	
17	12	12	9	3	
22	39	39	12	5	
24	16	15	6	4	
25	3	3	3	2	
26	5	5	3	3	
32	3	1	1	0	
34	3	3	1	0	
38	1	1	1	0	
39	28	23	13	9	
40	15	15	4	2	
44	3	2	0	0	
46 47	0	0	2	0	
48	3	3	0	0	
49	4	4	3		
51			4		
52	12 10	12 9	4	3 3	
Wave 3	301	<b>65</b>	15	7	
Multiregion	12	6	0	0	
PSR Undetermined (b)	12	0	0		
1	30	3	1	0	
9	98	21	9	4	
10	62	6	1	0	
18	36	13	0	0	
23	28	3	3	2	
31	25	9	1	1	
37	9	4	0		
ા	9	4	0		U

Appendix 3

Public Cefety Persion	Number of Channels 1-	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	(a)	TA	FRAs Approved by
Public Safety Region Wave 4	120 FRAs (a) 153	Number 0	rrequency Recon	figuration Agreemen ว	is (FRAS)
Multiregion	8	23	2	0	0
PSR Undetermined (b)	1	0	0	0	0
2	10	1	1	1	1
3	36	1	1	1	1
5	28	1	0	0	0
21	5	0	0	0	0
29	10	1	0	0	0
30	8	4	3	1	1
33	19	4	0	0	1
36	5	0	0	0	0
43	8	1	1	1	1
50	ο	1	1	1	1
53	7	5	1	0	0
54	0	0	0	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0
Wave Undetermined (b)	16	11	1	0	0
TOTAL	1083	687	267	146	128

#### Notes:

a. Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.b. PSR or Wave Undetermined - TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR and Wave based on data provided.

Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005

Appendix 4

Public Safety Region	PSR Name		Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (e)		FRAs Submitted to TA	by TA	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC Number of Call Si	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted		Incumbent Clears Frequencies (e)	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Wave 1	Subtotal	870	805	292	124	109	96		25	8	4	2
	CA - North	113			14	14	10		5	1	0	0
7	Colorado	29			2	1	2	1	0		1	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	98			17	13	13	9	1	0	0	0
11	Hawaii	60			8	8	7	6	3	1	0	0
13	Illinois	45			6	6	3	1	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	29			4	3	3	. 3	0	1	0	0
19	ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT*	83			3	3	2	2	3	0	0	0
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	67			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	94			29	26	24	12	3	1	•	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	71			10	8	6	1	1	1	1	0
35	Oregon	56			7	7	7	7	. 3	0	1	1
41	Utah	21			6	6	5	4	3	2	1	1
42	Virginia	52			8	7	7	4	2	0		
45	Wisconsin	14			8	5	5	5	1	1	0	0
	Chicago	38			2	2	2	2		0	•	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	604			109	96	78	_	_	_	•	2
4	Arkansas	39			100			0.	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho*	15			1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
15	Iowa	17			5	4	1			0	0	0
16	Kansas	33			20	20	20	20	3	0	1	1
17	Kentucky	16			3	2	20	1	0	0		0
22	Minnesota*	80			12	10	4	2	1	0		0
24	Missouri	38			5	4	4	2	2	0	0	0
25	Montana*	21			11	11	3	1	2	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	12			5	5	5	5	5	2		0
32	North Dakota*	13		1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
34	Oklahoma	26		9	8	8	8	8		0	0	0
38	South Dakota	11			0	0	0	0	0	0		0
39	Tennessee	46			15	15	15		2	0	1	1
40	TX - Dallas	39			3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	4		1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	69		V	0	0	0	0	0	0		
48	USVI	23			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	12			6	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	45			4	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	44			7	7	7	3	1	0	0	0
Wave 3	Subtotal	501		415	10	7	7	5	2	0	1	1
1	Alabama	10			1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	212	89		4	4	5	3	2	0	1	1
10	Georgia	55			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	90			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	25			4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	North Carolina	69			1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	40			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Appendix 4

Public		Updated Call Sign Population	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact		FRAs Submitted		Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications		Incumbent Clears	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to	Call Signs with Surrender Applications
Safety	0			Agreement (e)	to TA	by TA	FCC	Granted	Frequencies (e)	Frequencies (e)	FCC	Granted
Wave 4	Subtotal			26	11	10		4	1	U	U	U
2	Alaska*	36		1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	81	25	9	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	140	7	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	29	13	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	96	8	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	103	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	12	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	159	9	3	3	3	3	3	1	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	12	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	17	15	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for W	aves 1-4	2811	1681	939	254	222	188	136	46	10	9	5

#### Notes:

- \* PSR includes international border area, data may change depending on outcome of treaty negotiation.
- a. Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include call signs in Wave 1 and Wave 2 that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.
  c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Nextel and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees not longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Status of Reconfiguration Contract Review, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2005

Status	of Reconfiguration Contract	11011011, 1		i region, e	ts of septen		1005
Public Safety		1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Region	PSR Name			cy Reconfig		•	RAs)
Wave 1	Subtotal	76					79
	Multiregion	18	0	0	0	0	18
6	Northern California	8	0	0	0	0	8
7	Colorado	2	0	0	0	0	
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	8	1	0	0	0	9
11	Hawaii	3	0	0	0		3
13	Illinois	3	0	0	0		3
14	Indiana	1	0	0			1
19	New England	3	0	0	0		3
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	8	2	0	0	0	10
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	6	0	0	0		6
35	Oregon	4	0	0	0	0	4
41	Utah	4	0	0	0	0	4
42	Virginia	3	0	0	0	0	3
45	Wisconsin	4	0	0	0		4
54	Southern Lake Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
Wave 2	Subtotal	36	2	2	0	0	40
4	Multiregion Arkansas	5	2	1	0	0	8
12	Idaho*						
15	lowa	1	0	0	0	0	1
16	Kansas	3	0	0	0		3
17	Kentucky	1	0	0			1
22	Minnesota	3	0	1	0		1
24	Missouri	2	0	0	0		2
25	Montana	2	0	0	0	0	2
26	Nebraska	3	0	0	0		3
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0		0
34	Oklahoma	0	0	0	0		0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0		0
39	Tennessee	10	0	0	0	0	10
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	1	0	0	_		
44	West Virginia	0	0	0			
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	USVI	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	0	0	0	0	1
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	1	0	0	0	0	
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	3	0	0	0	0	3

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt cy Reconfig	from Receipt	from Receipt	Total
Wave 3	Subtotal	Numbe 5	1	n n	0	0	1 <del>1.13</del> )
114100	Multiregion	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	Alabama	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	2	1	0	0	0	3
10	Georgia	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	1	0	0	0	0	1
31	North Carolina	1	0	0	0	0	1
37	South Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	3	0	0	0	0	3
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Alaska	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	Arizona*	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Eastern Upstate NY	1	0	0	0	0	1
33	Ohio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington	1	0	0	0	0	1
50	TX - El Paso*	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 New York - Buffalo*		0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Wave	es 1-4	120	6	2	0	0	128

#### Notes:

<sup>\*</sup> PSR includes international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.

Appendix 6

## 800 MHz Transition Administrator Fees and Expenses through September 30, 2005

	Quarter Ending Dec. 31, 2004	Quarter Ending March 31, 2005	Quarter Ending June 30, 2005	Quarter Ending Sept. 30, 2005	Year-to-Date through Sept. 30, 2005	Inception-to- Date through Sept. 30, 2005
Fees:						
Reconfiguration Management	\$399,022	\$974,146	\$1,171,712	\$1,638,990	\$3,358,317	\$3,590,939
Frequency Management *	4000,022	φοι .,ο	621,963			
Financial Management	15,910	262,230	,	672,505	, ,	
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	522,842	1,188,332	1,180,231	1,201,415	3,569,978	
Stakeholder Relationship Management	302,317	841,174	1,438,620	1,274,016	3,553,809	3,856,126
TA Systems Support	112,202	668,970	1,237,954	1,198,184	3,105,109	3,217,311
Program Management Support	210,034	731,626	797,787	735,483	2,264,896	2,474,930
Subtotal	\$1,562,327	\$4,666,478	\$7,171,847	\$7,422,562	\$19,260,887	\$20,823,214
Expenses:	\$32,138	\$190,259	\$420,822	\$495,393	\$1,106,474	\$1,138,612
Total Labor and Expenses	\$1,594,465	\$4,856,737	\$7,592,669	\$7,917,955	\$20,367,361	\$21,961,826

<sup>\*</sup> During the quarters ending December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005, all Frequency Management fees were reported under the Reconfiguration Management functional team.

## **Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections** as of October 28, 2005

LICENSEE	ST	CALL SIGN	FREQUENCIES
Marin, County of		WPFQ266	860.4625
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Placer, County of		WPIE742	860.9375
San Francisco, City and County of		KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of		WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of		WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
Stanislaus, County of (Mountain Valley Emergency		WNVJ731	860.9375
Medical Services Agency)			
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of		WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Cromwell, Town of		WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia		WPXT459	860.9875
District of Columbia		KNJU834	860.9875
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	НІ	WPQZ565	860.4625
University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	ΙA	WPKN529	860.2125
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Gurnee, Village of	IL	WNAR378	860.2625
Gurnee, Village of	IL	WNBG488	n/a*
Illinois, State of (Dept of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Dept of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Dept of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Westmont, Village of	IL	WNNO865	860.2625
Westmont, Village of	IL	WQBR321	860.2625
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Steuben, County of		WPDU229	860.2125
Allegany, County of		WPRS598	860.4875
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)		WPRU936	860.7375
Salisbury, City of		WPHQ675	860.7625
Manchester, City of	_	WPDK444	860.4875
New Jersey, State of		WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	_	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of		WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	•	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	_	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	_	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	_	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	_	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	_	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	_	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	_	WNDD578	860.9375
,			1000.00.0

## **Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections as of October 28, 2005**

LICENSEE	ST	CALL SIGN	FREQUENCIES
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375,
·			860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375,
			860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority		WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority		KB23096	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority		KNEH691	n/a*
Salem, City of		WPKB609	860.4875
Allentown, City of		WPJK416	860.9375
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Texas Tech University		KNNJ876	860.9625
Virginia Beach, City of		WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of		WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Dept of Corrections)		WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)		WPRR746	860.4875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625

<sup>\*</sup> Licensee listed a Call Sign that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band (860-861 MHz) on their Expansion Band Election Form.

Appendix 8

Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of October 28, 2005

		Sprint Nextel	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach		
	Number of Channels 1-120	Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Verbal Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Public Safety Region	FRAs (a)		f Frequency Reconfig		
Wave 1	383	373	207	115	
Multiregion	47	44	20	10	
PSR Undetermined (b)	2	1	1	10	10
6	44	44	21	16	15
7	16	16	12	6	
8	39	39	17	12	
					11
11	13	12	7	3	
13	21	21	13	5	5
14	16	16	13	7	7
19	28	28	10	4	
20	24	24	4	0	_
27	26	26	21	15	
28	25	26	26	9	8
35	23	22	12	6	5
41	7	7	6	6	6
42	17	17	6	5	5
45	9	9	7	7	6
54	26	21	11	3	2
Wave 2	230	216	94	61	58
Multiregion	25	24	5	3	3
4	12	11	1	0	0
12	8	8	6	4	3
15	6	6	3	2	2
16	12	11	5	4	4
17	12	12	10	3	3
22	39	39	15	10	_
24	16	15	7	5	_
25	3	3	3	2	
26	5	5	4	3	
32	4	2	1	0	
34	3	3	1	0	_
38	1	1	1	0	_
39	29	24	13	12	
40	15	15	3	2	
44	3		0	0	_
46	0		0	0	
47	8		2	1	-
48	3		0	0	
49	4	4	3	2	
51	12	12	4	4	
52	10		7	4	
Wave 3	301	69	21	8	
Multiregion	12	7	0	0	
PSR Undetermined (b)	1	1	0	0	
1	30		1	0	
9	97	20	11	5	
10	63	6	1	0	0
18	37	17	2	0	0
23	28	3	3	2	
31	24			1	
37	9		1	0	0

Appendix 8

Public Safety Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a) Number o	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Verbal Agreement (a) f Frequency Reconfig	FRAs Submitted to TA guration Agreemer	TA
Wave 4	158		12	4	4
Multiregion	11	4	2	0	0
PSR Undetermined (b)	1	0	0	0	0
2	10	2	2	1	1
3	36	2	0	0	0
5	28	1	0	0	0
21	5	0	0	0	0
29	10	7	1	0	0
30	8	4	3	1	1
33	19	0	0	0	0
36	5	3	1	0	0
43	8	1	1	1	1
50	9	4	2	1	1
53	8	7	0	0	0
54	0	0	0	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0
Wave Undetermined (b)	23	15	3	0	0
TOTAL	1095	708	337	188	176

- a. Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.b. PSR or Wave Undetermined TA is unable to accurately assign PSR and Wave based on data provided.

Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of October 28, 2005

Appendix 9

Public Safety			Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (e)		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies (e)	Incumbent Clears Frequencies (e)	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Region	PSR Name						Number of Call Si					
Wave 1	Subtotal	870	808		162						9	4
6	CA - North	113	109		18	17			11		1	1
7	Colorado	29	27		6	6		1	3	2	1	1
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	98	97		19	18	17		5	2	2	0
11	Hawaii	60	58		8	8	8	6	7	1	0	0
13	Illinois	45	40		7	7	6	3	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	29	29		8	8	5	5	3	1	0	0
19	ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT*	83			4	4	3	3	3	1	1	0
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	67	66		0	0	0	0		0	0	0
27	Nevada	94			36	34			18	2	0	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	71	67		19	19			1	1	1	0
35	Oregon	56	52		10	10	9	8	7	2	1	1
41	Utah	21	21		7	7	7	5	5	2	2	1
42	Virginia	52			8	8	7	6	3	0	0	0
45	Wisconsin	14			9	9	8	5	3	2	0	0
54	Chicago	38	38		3	2	2	2		0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	604	513		124	122	107	82	38	17	5	4
4	Arkansas	39	38		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho*	15	14		6	5	4	2	2	1	0	0
15	lowa	17			6	6	6	4	4	0	0	0
16	Kansas	33			21	21		21	4	0	1	1
17	Kentucky	16			3	3		3	0	0	0	0
22	Minnesota*	80	75		16	15		9	3	2	0	0
24	Missouri	38			6	6		3	3	1	0	0
25	Montana*	21	16		11	11	6	1	2	1	1	0
26	Nebraska	12		8	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2
32	North Dakota*	13		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	26			8	8	8	8		0	0	0
38	South Dakota	11			0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	46	49		19	19	16	13	12	9	1	1
40	TX - Dallas	39	39		3	3	3	2	1	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	69			1	1	0	0		0	0	0
48	USVI	23	13		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	12	12		6		6	1	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	45	45		5	5	4	3	1	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	44	43		7	7	7	6	1	1	0	0
Wave 3	Subtotal	501	284	67	12	12	11	6	7	1	1	1
1	Alabama	10	10		1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
9	Florida	212	90		6	6	5	3	4	1	1	1
10	Georgia	55	32		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	90	49		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	25	28		4	4	4	1	1	0	0	0
31	North Carolina	69	39		1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	40	36	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Appendix 9

Public Safety		as of 9/30/05	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (e)	Agreement (e)	FRAs Submitted to TA	by TA	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies (e)	Incumbent Clears Frequencies (e)	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Wave 4	Subtotal			52	11	11	12	5	4	1	1	0
2	Alaska*	36			1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	81	30	9	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	140	7	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	29	18	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	96	8	4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	103	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	12	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	159	9	8	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	0
50	TX - El Paso*	12	6	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	17	16	7	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2811	1715	989	309	302	262	191	119	36	16	9

#### Notes:

- \* PSR includes international border area, data may change depending on outcome of treaty negotiation.
- a. Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include call signs in Wave 1 and Wave 2 that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Nextel and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees not longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.